

Summary of responses to the consultation on the Draft Statement of Community Involvement: Minerals and Waste Planning Policy and Planning Applications – Minerals and Waste and County Council Development 2020

February 2021

The consultation ran from Friday 20th November 2020 to Friday 15th January 2021. Approximately 5000 individuals were invited to comment on the consultation draft. This included members of the public, District, Borough and Parish Councils, statutory consultees, all members of the County Council and other relevant stakeholders.

A total of 16 representations were received from 15 consultees consisting of statutory bodies, district, borough and parish councils in Kent and members of the public. Three representations indicated they had no comments to make. The comments received are summarised in the table overleaf.

Consultee	Response (section headings/numbers relate to consultation Statement of Community Involvement Document)	KCC Response to matters raised by representation	Change to text (if necessary, section headings/numbers relate to amended post consultation Statement of Community Involvement Document)
SPD-01	Paragraph 4 Suggestion to identify key changes from previous Statement of Community Involvement, in this section	The Statement is to be read as a new document in line with the statute which requires it is to be updated every five years. To aid clarity, it is not considered necessary to highlight the changes from the previous version. The changes were explained during the consultation on the document to aid individuals when making comments.	None required
1. Introduct	ion No comment ion and Engagement		
SPD-01	Section 2.1 Engagement Principles No mention of Government Consultation Principles or the Gunning Principles and questions if these are standard for Statements of Community Involvement	Public engagement on planning applications and local plan projects is dictated by statute. The relevant legislation is underpinned by the Gunning principles.	None required
SPD-01	Paragraph 2.1.6 Mention Data Protection Act 2018 that needs to be complied with	Agree	Reference included in Statement of Community Involvement 2021.
SPD-01	Paragraph 2.4.1 Perhaps split in to two paragraphs as first part is on what the consultee must do and the second is what KCC will do with responses	Agree – this aids clarity.	Text been split into two paragraphs in Statement of Community Involvement 2021.

SCI-15	Welcome engagement with groups by	Such arrangements are already in place and	None required
	electronic means. Concerns that existing	we accept collaborative responses. We	·
	electronic tools used by the County Council	always attempt to engage local interest	
	do not readily assist groups in obtaining a	groups where necessary and parish councils	
	rounded view from all members of certain	are a statutory consultee throughout the	
	interest groups. Suggestion that more up to	planning process.	
	date tools could be acquired to allow a	,	
	registered user from a group (such as		
	Secretary, Clerk, Chair) to then redistribute		
	materials to own group members and		
	receive responses electronically which can		
	then be consolidated to a representative		
	group response.		
3. Communi	ty Involvement and Plan Making		
SCI-07	Paragraph 3.2 onwards	Agree	To be included in Statement of
	Should include reference to s.33A of		Community Involvement 2021.
	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004,		
	along with its link to Part 2 of the 2012		
	Regulations in terms of the definition of		
	'prescribed bodies'. (Marine Management		
	Organisation & SE Local Enterprise		
	Partnership under s.33(A)(9))		
SCI-9/11	Paragraphs 3.2 and 3.3	Noted	None required
	Support engagement proposals set out in		
	Statement of Community Involvement ,		
	including Duty to cooperate (DtC) and		
	Statements of Common Ground (SOCG)		
SCI-12	Need to ensure contact details updated	Noted	None required
	when notified of a change by consultees to		

	ensure consultations are sent to correct		
	email addresses and providing early		
	notification of upcoming consultation would		
	assist in meeting consultation timescale		
	particularly where comments need board		
	approval. Responses to how comments		
	taken into account welcomed.		
4. Communi	ty Involvement and Planning Applications		
SCI-12	Table 3 – Stage 1 Pre-Application	Whether pre-application advice is sought on	Text included to emphasise benefits of
	Pre-app approach should be more pro-	a scheme or not is ultimately at the	pre-application advice.
	active, emphasising benefits of engagement	discretion of the applicant. It is however a	
	between KCC/Applicant at early stage.	valuable part of the development process,	
	Engagement should be more encouraging	potentially resulting in higher quality	
	and suggest rather than 'if appropriate' state	developments. Text could usefully be	
	'particularly for large and/or potentially	included to reflect this in the revised	
	controversial proposals to	Statement of Community Involvement.	
	engage'		
SCI-07	Table 3 – Stage 3 Application is Publicised	There is no statutory requirement to consult	None required
	Concern that KCC should consult neighbours	neighbours on a development proposal and	
	within 250 metre buffer zone of a	the revised Statement of Community	
	development on the basis that Policy DM8	Involvement reflects this. There are	
	identifies this zone of influence for a	however, some cases where it may be	
	minerals or waste facility.	considered particularly beneficial to consult	
		neighbours. The revised Statement makes	
		provision for case officers to include	
		neighbour notification on a bespoke	
		arrangement; this could be in excess of	
		250m in some cases. Planning applications	
		for minerals and waste development are	
		<u>'</u>	

		also advertised in the local press and	
		through the posting of at least one site	
		notice to reflect statutory requirements.	
		The relevant District, Borough and Parish	
		Council is consulted on every application.	
		Policy DM8 requires a 250m buffer as this	
		reflects the impacts of the site as a whole on	
		new residential development, as opposed to	
		a planning application which could only	
		represent a small-scale addition to an	
		existing site.	
		On this basis, no further changes are	
		considered necessary.	
5. Further In	formation and Contact Details		
	No comment		
Appendix 1	– Glossary		
	No comment		
Appendix 2	- Statutory Consultation Bodies		
SPD-02	Mayor of London and Transport for London	Agreed - the Statement will be amended to	'Mayor of London' and 'Transport for
	are listed as prescribed bodies in connection	include the Mayor of London and Transport	London' added to list of Statutory
	with Duty to Cooperate and would be	for London in the list of Statutory Consultees	Consultation Bodies in Appendix 2.
	helpful to be added to list of consultation		
	bodies		
SPD-07	List omits Marine Management Organisation	Agreed - the Statement will be amended to	'Marine Management Organisation'
	which should be included	include the Marine Management	added to list of Statutory Consultation
		Organisation in the list of Statutory	Bodies in Appendix 2.

		Consultees	
SCI-13	Suggest adding Parish Councils,	Parish Councils are already a statutory	Update text to include Neighbourhood
	Neighbourhood Planning Groups and Coastal	consultee. Neighbourhood Planning Groups	Planning Groups and Coastal Community
	Community Teams to list of consultees	and Coastal Community Teams to be added	Teams to list of general consultees in
		to Appendix 3.	Appendix 3.
SPD-12/14	Welcome inclusion of Ebbsfleet	Noted	None proposed
	Development Corporation		
Appendix 3	General Consultation Bodies		
	No comment		
Appendix 4	EqIA Requirements		
	No comment		
Appendix 5 -	- Monitoring Plan		
	No comment		
Appendix 6 -	- List of Main KCC Libraries, Gateways and Coun	cil Offices	
SCI-13	Reassurance that consultation documents	The revised Statement identifies the main	None proposed.
	will be made available in local libraries in	KCC libraries, gateways and offices where	
	areas affected by consultation would be	policy documents may be made available for	
	helpful – without access to car not	inspection depending on the nature and	
	necessarily easy to reach libraries included,	scale of the consultation. However, all	
	particularly for residents with restricted	engagement will include web access and a	
	mobility	copy therefore accessible via all libraries	
		which have a computer for public use or	
		personal computers/devices. Hard copies	
		will be made available as appropriate.	
Miscellaneo			
SCI-03	Should be an assumption that production of	Noted - not related to the current	None proposed
	material has a local market & recycled	consultation	
	material should always be used in		

	preference to newly extracted material.		
	Does not appear to be great enthusiasm to		
	re-purpose materials that have gone through		
	the waste recycling process.		
SCI-04	Policies fail to take note of changes taking	Noted - not related to the current	None proposed
	place in response to waste avoidance and	consultation	
	climate change issues.		
SCI-07	No mention of Ebbsfleet Development	The Ebbsfleet Development Corporation is	None proposed
	Corporation within draft Statement of	listed as one of the Statutory Consultation	
	Community Involvement – should refer to	Bodies for planning policy matters in	
	KCC relationship with EDC as the	Appendix 2 of the draft Statement	
	determining authority for minerals and		
	waste applications within its area of		
	jurisdiction.		
SCI-08	Supportive of principle of meaningful and	Noted and support welcomed.	None proposed
	early engagement of the general community,		
	community organisations and statutory		
	bodies in local planning matters, both in		
	terms of shaping policy and participating in		
	the process of determining planning		
	applications.		
SCI-10	Concerns that applications are looked at as	Noted - not related to the current	None proposed
	individuals rather than considering all in an	consultation	
	area as well as the impact of routes from		
	quarries to markets on adjacent areas		
	transportation and road suitability.		
SCI-14	Note no reference to Nationally Significant	Noted – the NSIPs are not a consultee.	None proposed
	Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) (such as		
	London Resort, Manston Airport) in	Noted – not related to the current	

	Statement of Community Involvement .	consultation. Any comments raised at	
		planning applications stage are considered	
	Resident Association comments about waste	as part of the determination of an	
	capacity are not always appropriately	application.	
	considered, for example within the Dartford		
	area.		
SCI-16	Consider than KCC should consult widely and	Noted - not related to the current	None proposed
	concerns that changes to household waste	consultation	
	recycling centres through lockdown included		
	no consultation and has been		
	counterproductive.		